

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,495	10/22/2001	Kenneth S. Franzel	Q01-1026-US1	7348
7590 05/1 <i>5/</i> 2006		EXAMINER		
ROBERT A. SALTZBERG MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street			KIM, HAROLD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94105			2181	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,495	FRANZEL, KENNETH S.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harold Kim	2181	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the status of the stat	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 October 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	·	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2001 i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ınce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for		t received. FRITZ FLEMING Supervisory PRIMARY EXAMINER 5/12/ GROUP 2100	
		FRITZ FLEMING PRINTZ FLEMING S/12/	
		GROUP 2100	
ttachment(c)		A47181	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,495

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43, filed 10/11/2005, have been considered but the arguments are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Therefore, this action is made in NON-FINAL.

2. Claims 1-43 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-10, 12, 15-23, 25-31, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 199(a)

as being unpatentable over Soetemans et al., US Pub. No.: US 2003/0058618 A1.

- 5. In re claim 1, Soetemans et al. shows a network backplane interface [125, figs 3-5; paragraph 0003, line 6] for a local network [paragraph 0003, lines 1-7], comprising:
 - (a) a circuit board [paragraph 0003, line 5; paragraph 0015, lines 1-4];
- (b) a plurality of sockets [paragraph 0003, line 7, paragraph 0015, line 4] connected to the circuit board for receiving plug-in network devices;
- (c) power lines on the circuit board to one or more sockets for powering a plug-in network device in each socket [paragraph 0023, lines 9-12];
- (d) communication lines on the circuit board to each socket for communication with the plug-in network devices [paragraph 0025] and

Page 2

Art Unit: 2181

(e) a housing for the circuit board, power lines and communication lines, including openings for exposing said sockets [1 and 125 in figs 1 1A, 1B, and 3].

- 6. In re claim 2, Soetemans et al. shows a communication controller [120a, 120b, fig 3] which allows communication between the plug-in devices.
- 7. In re claim 3, Soetemans et al. a configuration circuit [120a, 120b fig 3; fig 6] on the circuit board which allows configuring function of one or more plug-in devices to perform desired functions.
- 8. In re claim 4, Soetemans et al. shows the configuration circuit communicates with a plug-in device in a socket to identify the plug-in device and configure the plug-in device for network communication function [fig 6, paragraphs 0038-0039].
- 9. In re claim 5, Soetemans et al. shows (1) memory [inherent feature of having a profile, paragraph 0032, lines 16-18] for storing configuration instructions for configuring one or more plug-in devices, and (2) processor [controllers, paragraph 0032, line 17] for executing the configuration instructions to network communication.
- 10. In re claim 6, Soetemans et al. shows a configuration memory [paragraph 0032, lines 16-18] having configuration information for a plurality of predetermined plug-in device types [paragraph 0032, lines 12-17].
- 11. In re claim 7, Soetemans et al. shows extended configuration memory [paragraph 0032, lines 16-18] for storing configuration information for additional device types.

Art Unit: 2181

12. In re claim 8, Soetemans et al. shows an embedded configuration module [paragraph 0032, lines 16-18; fig 6] to configure plug-in devices in a configuration session.

- 13. In re claim 9, Soetemans et al. shows the configuration module configures all plug-in devices in one configuration session [paragraph 13, lines 39-45; fig 6].
- 14. In re claim 10, Soetemans et al. shows a platform-independent configuration software [paragraph 0006, lines 13-22].
- 15. In re claim 12, Soetemans et al. shows at least one socket is dedicated to connection and communication with an external network [paragraph 0033, line 7].
- 16. In re claim 15, Soetemans et al. shows a RJ-45 socket [paragraph 0033, line7].
- 17. In re claim 16, Soetemans et al. shows a socket comprises a proprietary connector combining power and data connections [paragraph 0016; paragraph 0030, lines 0030, lines 17-21; figs 2A, 2B].
- 18. In re claim 17, Soetemans et al. shows a network backplane interface [125, figs 3-5; paragraph 0003, line 6] for a local network [paragraph 0003, lines 1-7], comprising:
- (a) a plurality of sockets [paragraph 0003, line 7; paragraph 0015, line 4] for receiving plug-in network devices;
- (b) power lines to one or more sockets for powering a plug-in network device in each socket [paragraph 0023, lines 9-12];
- (c) communication lines to each socket for communication with the plug-in network devices [paragraph 0025]; and

Art Unit: 2181

(d) a configuration module for functional configuration of one or more plug-in devices, wherein the configuration module communicates with each plug-in device in each socket to identify the plug-in device and configure function of the plug-in device to perform desired functions [paragraph 6; paragraph 0026; paragraph 0037].

- 19. In re claim 18, Soetemans et al. shows
- (1) memory [120a, fig 3; paragraph 0038] for storing configuration instructions for configuring one or more different plug-in devices, and
- (2) processor [120a, fig 3; paragraph 0038] for executing the configuration instructions to communicate with a plug-in device in a socket, and configure that device for network communication.
- 20. In re claim 19, Soetemans et al. shows a configuration memory [120a, fig 3] having configuration information for a plurality of predetermined plug-in device types [paragraph 0039, lines 1-2].
- 21. In re claim 20, Soetemans et al. shows extended configuration memory [120b, fig 3] for storing configuration information for additional device types.
- 22. In re claim 21, Soetemans et al. shows the configuration module allows configuring of plug-in devices in a configuration session for network communication among the plug-in devices [fig 6].
- 23. In re claim 22, Soetemans et al. shows configures all plug-in devices in one configuration session [fig 6].

Art Unit: 2181

24. In re claim 23, Soetemans et al. shows a platform-independent configuration software [fig 6].

- 25. In re claim 25. A network interface module [125, figs 3-5; paragraph 0003, line 6] for a local network [paragraph 0003, lines 1-7], comprising:
- (a) a plurality of sockets [paragraph 0003, line 7; paragraph 0015, line 4] for receiving plug-in network devices;
- (b) power lines to one or more sockets for powering a plug-in network device in each socket [paragraph 0023, lines 9-12];
- (c) a switch connected to each socket allowing communication with the plug-in network devices [120a, 120b, fig 3]; and
- (d) a configuration module for functional configuration of one or more plug-in devices, wherein the configuration module communicates with each plug-in device in each socket to identify the plug-in device and configure the plug-in device to perform selected functions [fig 6; paragraph 0026; paragraph 0037].
- 26. Claims 26-31, and 33 are rejected under the same rationale as discussed above in claims 18-23.
- 27. In re claim 34, Soetemans et al. shows a printed circuit board [paragraph 0003, line 5].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

28. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Application/Control Number: 10/003,495

Art Unit: 2181

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 29. Claims 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soetemans et al., US Patent no. 6,289,405, in view of Trans, USPGPUB no. 2002/0181633.
- 30. In re claims 13, and 14, Soetemans et al. does not show a security module. However, the security module is well known in the art of computer communication to have the secure module for having secure communication. Trans shows a security module [paragraph 0100, line 17-18] for Ethernet UTP applications. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the security module because it would provide a secure system.
- 31. Claims 11, 24, 32, 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soetemans et al., US Patent no. 6,289,405, in view of Kim et al., USPAT No. 6,473,788.

In re claims 11, 24, 32, 35-39, and 41-42, Soetemans et al. does not show a user interface for receiving user configuration commands to configure each plug-in and the backplane, the common user interface is platform and operating system independent, utilizing a common communication protocol between the plug-ins and the configuration module, graphical user interface, a web browser; if a device is not recognized by the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions for the unrecognized device from a source external to the configuration circuit; if a plug-in device is not recognized by the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions for the unrecognized device from a user.

However, Kim et al. shows the user interface for receiving user configuration commands to configure each plug-in and the backplane, the common user interface is platform and operating system independent, utilizing a common communication protocol between the plug-ins and the configuration module, graphical user interface, a web browser [150, fig 10; S13040, fig 13B], if a device is not recognized by the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions for the unrecognized device from a source external to the configuration circuit [S1425, fig 14], if a plug-in device is not recognized by the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions for the unrecognized device from a user [S1424, fig 14].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the user interface for receiving user configuration commands to configure each plug-in and the backplane, the common user interface is platform and operating system independent, utilizing a common communication protocol between the plug-ins and the configuration module, graphical user interface, a web browser; if a device is not recognized by the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions for the unrecognized device from a source external to the configuration circuit; if a plug-in device is not recognized by the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions for the unrecognized device from a user because it would provide a user-friendly system by allowing to have a user interface with more flexible by allowing it to operate in multiple configurations.

Art Unit: 2181

32. In re claim 40, Soetemans et al. shows embedded configuration instructions for configuring one or more different plug-in devices, such that the configuration circuit uses

identity of each plug-in device to obtain corresponding configuration instructions for

configuring the different plug-in devices [fig 6].

33. In re claim 43, Soetemans et al. shows if a plug-in device is not recognized by

the configuration circuit, then the configuration circuit obtains configuration instructions

for the unrecognized device from the unrecognized device itself [fig 6].

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43, filed 10/11/2005, have been

considered but the arguments are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Therefore, this action is made in NON-FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of

time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents Application/Control Number: 10/003,495

Art Unit: 2181

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The centralized fax number is 571-273-8300.

The centralized hand carry paper drop off location is:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop _____

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the central telephone number (571) 272-2100.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4148.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz Fleming can be reached on 571-272-4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Hårold J. Kim

Patent Examiner

May 11, 2006/HK

mounday

FRITZ FEEMING
PRIMARY EXAMINE
GROUP 2100

e Auzl8